



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/490,965	01/24/2000	Michael J Heller	249/292	7864
34263	7590 11/01/2004	EXAMINER		INER
O'MELVENY & MEYERS			MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
114 PACIFIO IRVINE, CA	CA, SUITE 100 A 92618		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	7	•	1631	
			DATE MAIL ED. 11/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

120	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisom, Action	09/490,965	HELLER ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ardin Marschel	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of record which are further discussed as attached.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>83-91,95-101,104,105 and 107</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). (1 sheet).						
10. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The array and biomolecule vs. target limitations are new issues as well as the added unclarity of whether a biomolecule contacting with a permeation layer/electrode is a separate contacting or part of whole array sample addition. This also raises the issue of NEW MATTER regarding separate permeation layer/electrode contacting embodiments. These issues would require further consideration and/or search.

Application/Control Number: 09/490,965

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Further explanation of item # 5 on the enclosed Advisory action:

The rejection based on vagueness and indefiniteness is maintained and reiterated from the previous office action, mailed 7/14/04, due to the above noted Nonentry of the amendment thus leaving the claims rejected as set forth in said previous office action. Applicants argue that the claim amending would overcome this rejection. In response the cooperativity between the electrodes and permeation layer would be clarified by the amendment, if entered, however, there is added unclarity as to what metes and bounds are meant by contacting a biomolecule with the permeation layer when this is part of an array assemblage and thus confusing as to whether separate permeation layer/electrode contacting is meant or that the biomolecule is applied to the entire array in the practice of the claim.

The prior art rejection is reiterated and maintained due to non-entry of the amendment. The new issue of array limitations, if entered, however, would require further consideration and/or re-evaluation of the basis for this rejection and possibly new prior art considerations.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Enclosed is a PTO Form 1449 with duplicates lined through from the previously executed form. Also, the Japanese document only is considered to the extent of the title and abstract as indicated on said form because the remainder is in a foreign language.

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61

Application/Control Number: 09/490,965

Art Unit: 1631

(November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 27, 2004

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL PRIMARY EX MILLER